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SEMINAR GOALS:

1. PROVIDE HISTORICAL CONTEXT AND A BRIEF OVERVIEW
   OF ADVANCES IN SELECTED CORE SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH PROGRAMS (EXCEPT FOR ECONOMICS) IN UNDERSTANDING THE HUMAN (ANTHROPOGENIC) DIMENSIONS OF GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE.

2. ILLUSTRATE ONE OF THE CONTINUING, CUMULATIVE RESEARCH PROGRAMS: THE STIRPAT RESEARCH PROGRAM.

3. STIMULATE DISCUSSION OF RESEARCH NEEDS IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES OF ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE.
"WE HAVE MET THE ENEMY AND HE IS US."

POGO
Definition: Global Environmental Change

1. **CUMULATIVE EFFECTS** - EFFECTS THAT ARE LOCAL IN DOMAIN BUT SO WIDELY REPLICATED THAT IN SUM THEY HAVE GLOBAL CONSEQUENCES.

   EXAMPLES: TROPICAL DEFORESTATION, DESERTIFICATION, SPECIES LOSS, DAMAGED LOCAL ECOSYSTEMS, AND RESOURCE EXHAUTION.

2. **SYSTEMIC EFFECTS** - EFFECTS THAT OCCUR ON LARGE SPATIAL SCALES OR ALTER THE FUNCTION OF LARGE SYSTEMS.
FIVE ANTHROPOGENIC DRIVERS OF GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE (GEC):

- POPULATION
- AFFLUENCE (CONSUMPTION)
- TECHNOLOGY
- INSTITUTIONS (POLITICAL, ECON, SOCIAL)
- CULTURE (INCLUDING VALUES & BELIEFS)
Human Footprints on the Global Environment

Threats to Sustainability

edited by Eugene A. Rosa, Andreas Diekmann, Thomas Dietz, and Carlo C. Jaeger

MIT Press, 2010
CHANS - COUPLED HUMAN AND NATURAL* SYSTEMS

THESE ARE INTEGRATED SYSTEMS IN WHICH PEOPLE INTERACT WITH NATURAL COMPONENTS

A. THEIR COMPLEXITY IS NOT WELL UNDERSTOOD

B. DUE TO TRADITIONAL SEPARATION OF SOCIAL AND ECOLOGICAL SCIENCES

C. MUCH GREATER UNDERSTANDING OF H->N THAN N->H

*OR ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS

REFERENCES:


Major Challenge: Candidate units of analysis/ scales

Ecology
- Biosphere
  - Biotic province
    - Landscape
      - Watershed/Airshed
        - Community
          - Population
            - Individual

Social sciences
- World System
  - Nation
    - Political subdivision
      - Organization
        - Community
          - Culture
            - Individual
MAJOR TOPICS COVERED:

FRAMING PERSPECTIVES AND RETROSPECTIVES:

1. INTRODUCTION: COUPLED HUMAN AND NATURAL SYSTEMS (CHANS)

2. THE RISK SOCIETY

3. CRITICAL REVIEW OF MAJOR PERSPECTIVES: ECOLOGY & SOCIAL SCIENCE

8. CONCLUSION: CUMULATIVE KNOWLEDGE AND CRITICAL GAPS

RESEARCH PROGRAMS:

4. LAND USE AND LAND COVER CHANGE

5. INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL REGIMES

6. COMMON POOL RESOURCES

7. VULNERABILITY, RESILIENCE, ADAPTATION TO ECOSYSTEM DISTURBANCE
CHAPTER TWO: World Risk Society as Cosmopolitan Society by Ulrich Beck

• LEADING SOCIOLOGICAL THEORIST
  • GERMAN/CONTINENTAL STYLE OF SCHOLARSHIP: INTERPRETIVE

• THE RISK SOCIETY (1986)

• KEY THEME: FROM INDUSTRIAL MODERNITY TO RISK LADEN MODERNITY
  • INDUSTRIAL MODERNITY: RISKS ARE CALCULABLE AND MANAGEABLE
  • RISK MODERNITY: RISKS ARE NEITHER CALCULABLE NOR MANAGEABLE
  • EXAMPLES: GLOBAL CLIMATE AND ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE, INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKETS, TERRORISM

• STRUCTURAL SHIFT: FROM A CONCERN WITH THE DISTRIBUTION OF GOODS TO A CONCERN ABOUT THE DISTRIBUTION OF “BADS;” THAT IS, RISKS.
  • SOCIAL CLASS IS NO LONGER PEOPLE’S PRINCIPAL IDENTITY
  • IT IS BEING REPLACED BY A COSMOPOLOITAN ATTITUDE THAT IS CENTERED ON A CONCERN OVER RISKS THAT TRANSCEND CLASS AND POLITICAL BOUNDARIES

• POLITICAL RESTRUCTURING:
  • NEW FORM OF POLITICS (CALLED SUB-POLITICS) THAT COMBINES ACTIONS AMONG TRADITIONAL POLITICAL BODIES WITH NON-POLITICAL BODIES (e.g. NGOs) AND DIRECT CITIZEN ACTION
• CONSIDERABLE PROGRESS: GLOBAL LAND PROJECT (IHDP&IGBP), CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF INSTITUTIONS, POPULATION, AND ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE AT INDIANA UNIVERSITY (CIPEC), AND CENTER FOR INTEGRATED REGIONAL ASSESSMENT (CIRA) AT PENN STATE

• BROADLY INTERDISCIPLINARY: COMBINING REMOTE SENSING WITH FIELD OBS.

• KEY ISSUES:

1. DEFORESTATION (ESPECIALLY IN THE AMAZON AND SE ASIA)

2. ROLE OF SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION IN NEW LAND USES/Cover

3. IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON LAND USE PRACTICES

4. POPULATION VS. OTHER FACTORS IN LAND USE/Cover CHANGE

5. UNDERSTANDING THE IMPACTS ON CARBON EMISSIONS FROM TROPICAL DEFORESTATION
• KEY FINDINGS:

1. PERCEPTION PLAYS A LARGE ROLE IN LAND USE CHOICES

2. SEDENTISM IS A STRONGER PREDICTOR OF LAND USE INTENSIFICATION AND DEFORESTATION THAN POPULATION

3. SMALL FARMERS CUT AND BURN YOUNG SECONDARY GROWTH FIRST —EMISSIONS OF CO₂ ARE LESS THAN PREDICTED BY GLOBAL MODELS

4. INSTITUTIONAL FACTORS (E.G. COMMUNITY RULES) ARE CRITICAL

• FUTURE RESEARCH:

• IMPROVE UNDERSTANDING OF DECISIONS TO CLEAR MATURE FORESTS

• NEED TO BETTER UNDERSTAND THESE FACTORS:
  1. LAND TENURE PRACTICES
  2. AGE AND GENDER STRUCTURE OF HOUSEHOLDS
  3. CREDIT POLICIES
  4. SHIFTS IN INTEREST RATES
  5. SHIFTS IN GLOBAL COMMODITY PRICES.
CHAPTER FIVE: The Effectiveness of International Environmental Regimes  
By Oran R. Young

• INSTITUTIONAL POLITICAL SCIENTIST (RAINBOW BOOK EDITOR, CHAIR, SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE- IHDP)

• RECENT INSTITUTIONAL CHANGES:

  • EMERGENCE OF NUMEROUS INSTITUTIONAL REGIMES FOR ADDRESSING ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS AT A GLOBAL LEVEL

  • SEVERAL HUNDRED INSTITUTIONS EXIST: COVERING CONCERNS FOR HUMAN USE OF NATURAL RESOURCES & ANTHROPOGENIC THREATS TO ECOSYSTEMS

  • THEY DIFFER GREATLY ON A WIDE VARIETY OF DIMENSIONS
• KEY QUESTION:  HOW EFFECTIVE ARE THESE GLOBAL REGIMES?

• ABSENT EXPERIMENTAL CONTROLS THE QUESTION POSES ESPECIALLY DIFFICULT METHODLOGICAL CHALLENGES

• CAN POINT TO JUDGED SPECIFIC SUCCESSES:

1. THE ANTARTIC TREATY SYSTEM
2. GREAT LAKES WATER QUALITY REGIME
3. DUMPING REGULATIONS IN THE NORTH SEA
4. REGIME FOR PROTECTING THE OZONE LAYER

• CONCLUDES: A WIDELY AGREED UPON METHOD AND MEASURE OF EFFECTIVENESS ELUDES THE FIELD AND REMAINS ITS PRINCIPAL CHALLENGE

• FUTURE RESEARCH:  FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF METHOD

ONE APPROACH:  BUILD UPON SUCH EFFORTS AS THE INTERNATIONAL REGIMES DATA BASE (IRD) – LARGE DATA BASE CREATED BY HAVING EXPERTS JUDGE THE ROLE OF REGIMES IN ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEM SOLVING
CHAPTER SIX: *Uncommon Ground: Critical Perspectives on Common Property* By Bonnie J. McCay and Svein Jentof

- **CORE QUESTION:** HOW IS THE ALLOCATION OF COMMON PROPERTY OR COMMON POOL RESOURCES DETERMINED? (E.G. FISHERIES, FORESTS, IRRIGATION SYSTEMS)

- **CONVENTIONAL WISDOM:** THE ALLOCATION TAKES PLACE BY THE EXERCISE OF INDIVIDUAL RATIONAL CHOICE TO MAXIMIZE ONE’S OWN UTILITY WITHOUT REGARD FOR OTHERS

  - THE INEVITABLE RESULT IS THE OVEREXPLOITATION OF RESOURCES

  - THE “CLASSIC” FORMULATION: ECOLOGIST GARRETT HARDIN WHO APPLIED IT TO OVERPOPULATION (*SCIENCE* 1968). IGNORES THE REALITY THAT ECONOMIC TRANSACTIONS ARE EMBEDDED IN SOCIAL RELATIONS
• ALTERNATIVE WISDOM: SELF-GOVERNANCE REVISIONIST APPROACH:

• THE PREDICTIONS OF THE HARDIN NEO-LIBERAL VIEW ARE INFREQUENTLY REALIZED IN PRACTICE

• SOCIAL AND CULTURAL NORMS (SUCH AS THE VALUE OF MODERATION) ARE OFTEN SUFFICIENT TO AVOID UNTOWARD IMPACTS

• INSTITUTIONAL PRACTICES OF DECENTRALIZED AND PARTICIPATORY MANAGEMENT OFTEN EMERGE—PRESERVING KEY RESOURCES

• FUTURE RESEARCH: FURTHER UNDERSTANDING OF THE CONTINGENCIES THAT LEAD TO SUSTAINABLE OR UN-SUSTAINABLE PRACTICES
CHAPTER SEVEN: *Vulnerability of Coupled Human-Ecological Systems to Global Environmental Change* By Jeanne X. Kaspersion, Roger Kaspersion, and Billie Turner

• WORLD COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENT AND DEVELOPMENT (1987):

   NEED FOR FOR A GLOBAL RISK ASSESSMENT (ROOTS AND STRESSES OF HUMAN ACTIONS ON ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE)

• THE ACADEMIC RESPONSE: THE VULNERABILITY, RESILIENCE, AND ADAPTATION APPROACH

• ADOPTION: IPCC, MEA, GLOBAL LAND PROJECT

• VARIETY OF INFLUENCES: (1) AMARTYA SEN’S ENTITLEMENT THEORY (FAMINES)

   (2) C.S. (BUZZ) HOLLING’S RESILIENCE THEORY

   (3) ROBERT CHAMBER’S COPING AND EMPOWERMENT (EMPHASIZING PARTICIPATORY ASSESSMENTS)
• KEY CONCEPTS:

(1) VULNERABILITY (LIKELIHOOD OF INDIVIDUAL, SYSTEM, UNIT TO BE HARMED)

(2) EXPOSURE (CONTACT BETWEEN SYSTEM AND A STRESSOR)

(3) RESILIENCE (ABILITY OF SYSTEM TO ABSORB STRESSES)

(4) ADAPTATION (SYSTEM RESPONSE TO STRESSOR THAT CHANGES THE SYSTEM)

• STATE OF THE FIELD:

• “THE EXISTING RESEARCH AND ASSESSMENT CUPBOARD IS FILLED WITH LOTS OF THINGS, BUT IT IS UNNECESSARILY CLUTTERED AND BEREF OF AN INTEGRATED FRAMEWORK OF THEORY AND ANALYSIS” (P. 235).

• FUTURE RESEARCH:

• FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF AN INTEGRATED FRAMEWORK THAT PLACES MORE EMPHASIS ON THE SOCIAL FEATURES OF VULNERABILITY

• POTENTIAL FOR BETTER INTEGRATION COULD BE REALIZED WITH CHANS APPROACH
STIRPAT RESEARCH PROGRAM:
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- 

KYLE KNIGHT – WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
I = PAT

**Accounting Version**
- Impacts (I)
- Population (P)
- Affluence (A) or Consumption
- Technology (T)
IPAT: ACCOUNTING VERSION (OR IDENTITY)

IMPACTS TO THE ENVIRONMENT = f(P, A, T)

STIRPAT: STOCHASTIC VERSION (ACCOUNTING FOR ERROR)

IMPACTS TO THE ENVIRONMENT = f(P, A, T) + \varepsilon
STIRPAT RESEARCH PROGRAM (NATION STATES)

STRESSORS EXAMINED (PUBLISHED):
- CO\textsuperscript{2} (CARBON DIOXIDE)
- CH\textsuperscript{4} (METHANE)
- NO\textsubscript{x} (NITROGEN OXIDES)
- SO\textsuperscript{2} (SULFUR DIOXIDE)
- ODS (OZONE DEPLETING SUBSTANCES)
- ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT (FT) - (TOTAL)
- ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT (FT) - (PARTS)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ADDITIONAL STRESSORS EXAMINED (NOT YET PUBLISHED):
- CARBON MONOXIDE
- NON-METHANE VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS
Figure 1. The Theoretical Effect of Economic Development on Environmental Impact
THE ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT (EF):

THE ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT (EF) IN ANY GIVEN YEAR IS THE AMOUNT OF BIOLOGICALLY PRODUCTIVE LAND (NATURE’S CAPITAL) NEEDED TO REGENERATE HUMAN CONSUMPTION, LIVING SPACE, AND TO ABSORB WASTES.

IMPORTANT CAVEAT:

THE EF MEASURES STRESS, PRESSURE OR LOADS ON NATURAL CAPITAL AND SERVICES, NOT THE ACTUAL IMPACT TO THE INVENTORY OF RESOURCES.
ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT (EF): ELEMENTS OF CONUMPTION*

FIVE COMPONENTS

1. FOOD
2. HOUSING
3. TRANSPORTATION
4. CONSUMER GOODS
5. SERVICES

INCLUDING AN ACCOUNTING FOR WASTES
ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT (EF): BIOPHYSICAL UNITS

SIX COMPONENTS:

1. ARABLE LAND (GROWING CROPS)
2. PASTURE LAND (ANIMAL GRAZING)
3. FORESTED LAND (TIMBER PRODUCTS)
4. SEA SPACE (PRODUCTIVE FISHING GROUNDS)
5. BUILT-UP LAND (INFRASTRUCTURE FOR HOUSING, TRANSPORTATION, INDUSTRY, HYDROELECTRIC POWER)
6. ENERGY OR CARBON LAND (TO SEQUESTER CO₂ EMISSIONS)

AGGREGATE EF: THE SEPARATE MEASURES CAN BE SUMMED INTO AN OVERAL ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication Date</th>
<th>Publication Outlet</th>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>Number of Nations</th>
<th>Data Year</th>
<th>Kuznets Curve</th>
<th>Noteworthy Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>PNAS¹</td>
<td>CO₂ Emissions</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>&gt;$10K</td>
<td>GDP/PC&lt;$5K for 75% of Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. CH₄</td>
<td>2. 137</td>
<td>2. 1991</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. GWP*</td>
<td>3. 137</td>
<td>3. 1991</td>
<td>+ Quadratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Ecological Economics</td>
<td>1. CO₂ Emissions</td>
<td>1. 146</td>
<td>1. 1996</td>
<td>&gt;$61K¹</td>
<td>Turning point is well beyond the range of observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Energy Footprint</td>
<td>2. 138</td>
<td>2. 1999</td>
<td>+ Quadratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>AMBIO</td>
<td>1. ODS**</td>
<td>1. 131</td>
<td>1. 1997</td>
<td>&gt;$13K¹</td>
<td>Beyond the range of a vast majority of nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. CO₂</td>
<td>2. 146</td>
<td>2. 1996</td>
<td>&gt;$34.8²</td>
<td>Beyond the range of observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. CH₄</td>
<td>3. 147</td>
<td>3. 1991</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Total EF</td>
<td>4. 142</td>
<td>4. 1996</td>
<td>+ Quadratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Forest EF</td>
<td>5. 142</td>
<td>5. 1996</td>
<td>+ Quadratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>JIE</td>
<td>EF/GDP/Md***</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>1998-9</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Sensitivity analyses reveal the need for extraordinary gain in efficiency to counter footprint effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Globalization &amp; The Environment</td>
<td>1. SO₂</td>
<td>1. 138</td>
<td>1. 1995</td>
<td>&gt;$14.4K¹</td>
<td>85% of nations are below this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. NO₂</td>
<td>2. 138</td>
<td>2. 1995</td>
<td>&gt;$23.3K²</td>
<td>99% of nations are below this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment¹</td>
<td>Total Ecological Footprint (EF)</td>
<td>128-135</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>+Quadratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
²Journal of Industrial Ecology
* GWP = Global Warming Potential (A combination CO₂ and CH₄)
** ODS = Ozone Depleting Substances (Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), halons, other fully halogenated CFCs, carbon tetrachloride, methyl, chloroform, HCFCs, and methyl bromide)
*** Md = The median of the EF/GDP Ratio
GENERAL FINDINGS:

FOR EVERY IMPACT EXAMINED WE FIND:

- SUPPORT FOR CONTINUED IMPACT THEORIES (CURVE b)

- REJECTION OF NEO-LIBERAL /MODERNIZATION THEORIES OR THE KUZNETS CURVE (CURVE a)
SPECIFIC FINDINGS:

FOR EVERY IMPACT EXAMINED:

- **POPULATION** IS ALWAYS A LEADING DRIVER OF IMPACTS
  
  (1) CONSISTENTLY A PROPORTIONAL RELATIONSHIP (UNIT ELASTICITY)

- **AFFLUENCE** IS ALWAYS ALSO A LEADING DRIVER
  
  (1) FOR CO$_2$ THE RELATIONSHIP IS ALWAYS ELASTIC (COEFFICIENT $\approx$ 1.5)

  (2) FOR OTHER IMPACTS THE RELATIONSHIP IS INELASTIC (COEFFICIENTS OF .26 TO .94)
ELEVEN INDEPENDENT AND CONTROL VARIABLES: (* = SMALL, SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS)

- *NON-DEPENDENT POPULATION (% OF POPULATION BETWEEN 15 AND 65)
- LAND AREA PER CAPITA
- *LATTITUDE (CLIMATIC ZONES)
- % OF GDP IN NON-SERVICE SECTORS
- WHETHER SOCIETY IS CAPITALIST (VS. MIXED CAPITALIST OR CAPITALIST-STATIST)
- *% URBAN POPULATION
- COUNTRY’S POSITION IN WORLD SYSTEM (CORE, SEMI-PERIPHERY, PERIPHERY)
- DIRECT FOREIGN INVESTMENT
- POLITICAL RIGHTS (FAIR AND OPEN ELECTIONS)
- CIVIL LIBERTIES (FREEDOM OF THE PRESS AND ASSEMBLY)
- INCOME INEQUALITY (USE OF GINI INDEX)
### Longitudinal Analysis: Panel Analysis of Pooled Cross-Sections (Ecological Footprint EF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Pop Ratio</th>
<th>GDPpc</th>
<th>GDPpc²</th>
<th>%Urban</th>
<th>%Urban²</th>
<th>Exports %GDP</th>
<th>Imports %GDP</th>
<th>Const</th>
<th>Within R²</th>
<th>Number Observ</th>
<th>Number Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total EF</td>
<td>0.98* (0.19)</td>
<td>-0.31* (0.07)</td>
<td>-0.21* (0.08)</td>
<td>0.03* (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.72* (0.13)</td>
<td>0.12* (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.06* (0.01)</td>
<td>0.07* (0.01)</td>
<td>1.31* (0.44)</td>
<td>.848</td>
<td>2247</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy¹ EF</td>
<td>0.99* (0.03)</td>
<td>-0.48* (0.13)</td>
<td>-0.28 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.05* (0.01)</td>
<td>-1.60* (0.27)</td>
<td>0.23* (0.04)</td>
<td>-0.06* (0.02)</td>
<td>0.09* (0.02)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.83)</td>
<td>.824</td>
<td>2247</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material² EF</td>
<td>1.00* (0.19)</td>
<td>-0.12* (0.05)</td>
<td>0.18* (0.15)</td>
<td>-0.33* (0.10)</td>
<td>0.08* (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.05* (0.09)</td>
<td>0.05* (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.92* (0.36)</td>
<td>.813</td>
<td>2247</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food EF³</td>
<td>0.99* (0.18)</td>
<td>-0.12* (0.06)</td>
<td>0.25* (0.07)</td>
<td>-0.01* (0.00)</td>
<td>-0.40* (0.11)</td>
<td>0.10* (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.05* (0.01)</td>
<td>0.05* (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.85* (0.39)</td>
<td>.790</td>
<td>2247</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest EF⁴</td>
<td>1.10* (0.05)</td>
<td>-0.11 (0.16)</td>
<td>-0.58* (0.21)</td>
<td>0.06* (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.18 (0.10)</td>
<td>-0.08* (0.03)</td>
<td>0.09* (0.03)</td>
<td>-1.82 (1.04)</td>
<td>.348</td>
<td>2247</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Amount to sequester CO₂ + Fuelwood + Nuclear

² Total EF minus Energy EF +

³ Cropland + Pasture Land + Fishing Area

⁴ Timber + Fuelwood

Years: 1961 - 2003

*P ≤ .05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>1,328,474,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>1,151,751,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>189,323,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>143,221,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>105,342,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>73,922,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>48,050,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>39,134,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>26,114,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,105,331,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: United Nations Statistics Division
Scatterplot of Average Life Satisfaction Regressed on Ecological Footprint per capita.
FUTURE RESEARCH: (FROM US) BROAD STROKES

HORIZONTAL DIMENSIONS:

• RESEARCH PROGRAMS IN PROGRESS—ADDRESS GAPS IDENTIFIED IN EACH OF THE SUBSTANTIVE CHAPTERS

• STIRPAT (AND OTHER RESEARCH) NEED FOR DIRECT IMPACT MEASURES

VERTICAL DIMENSIONS:

• BETTER INTEGRATION OF EMPIRICAL SOCIAL SCIENCE FINDINGS (INCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL PROCESSES) WITH THE GRAND MODELING EFFORTS (CARBON, HYDROLOGICAL, CIRCULATION MODELS) IN THE TRADITIONAL SCIENCES

FUTURE RESEARCH: (FROM YOU)

• OTHER MAJOR GAPS
Social Science and Global Environmental Change: Broad and Narrow Looks

Eugene A. Rosa
Edward R. Meyer Professor Professor of Natural Resources & Environmental Policy
Thomas F. Foley Institute for Public Policy and Public Service
Professor of Sociology
Affiliated Professor of Fine Arts
Affiliated Professor of Environmental Science

Washington State University
Pullman, WA 99164-4020
THE LIMITS OF MODELS:

IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO SIMULTANEOUSLY MAXIMIZE GENERALITY, REALISM, AND PRECISION IN ANY MODEL.

THIS LIMITATION RESULTS IN THREE OPTIONS:

(1) SACRIFICE GENERALITY TO REALISM AND PRECISION

(2) SACRIFICE REALISM TO GENERALITY AND PRECISION

(3) SACRIFICE PRECISION TO REALISM AND GENERALITY